Evolution and Current Trends in Labour Analgesia: Bridging Comfort, Safety, and Accessibility

Heena Pahuja[1*], Kartik Khurana[2]

[1] Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, NKP Salve Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Nagpur, Maharashtra
[2] Intern, Department of Anaesthesiology, NKP Salve Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Nagpur, Maharashtra

Abstract

Labour analgesia has undergone significant evolution, with innovations transforming pain management from rudimentary techniques to evidence-based, patient-centered approaches. Epidural analgesia remains the gold standard; however, the incorporation of multimodal strategies, including neuraxial adjuvants, non-pharmacological methods, and personalized analgesia protocols has improved both maternal and neonatal outcomes. This review highlights the current evidence, emerging trends, and persisting challenges in labour analgesia, with a focus on accessibility and equity in low- and middle-income countries. Bridging the gaps in awareness, skilled manpower, and institutional resources is crucial for ensuring that effective, safe, and equitable pain relief becomes an integral component of modern obstetric care.

Publication Details

Submission: 26/04/2025

Revision: 31/06/2025

Published: 31/08/2025

*Corresponding Author

Dr. Heena Pahuja,

Professor Department of Anaesthesiology, NKP Salve Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Nagpur, Maharashtra

Email: heenapahuja15@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Pain during labor and delivery is often described as one of the most intense forms of pain a human can experience.[1] Traditionally, epidural analgesia has been regarded as the gold standard for labor and postoperative pain management in obstetric practice.[2,3] While highly effective, it is not without limitations, technical expertise, resource requirements, contraindications, and potential complications such as hypotension, motor block, or rare but serious neurological sequelae.[4]

In recent years, the evolution of ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia techniques has opened new avenues in obstetric pain management.[5,6] Blocks such as the Transversus Abdominis Plane (TAP) block, Quadratus Lumborum Block (QLB), Paravertebral Block (PVB), and Erector Spinae Plane (ESP) block are gaining attention for their simplicity, safety, and opioid-sparing benefits.[7-10] These techniques not only enhance maternal comfort and recovery but also hold promise in settings where epidural services may not be readily available, especially in resource-limited regions such as rural and semi-urban India.

This review aims to explore the current evidence, practical applications, and future directions of these regional blocks in obstetric practice.

Current Challenges in Obstetric Analgesia

Despite significant advances, effective and equitable pain management during labor and cesarean delivery still faces multiple challenges:

1. **Limited Access in Resource-Constrained Settings**
Many low- and middle-income countries still lack the infrastructure, trained anesthesiologists, or equipment to implement neuraxial or advanced ultrasound-guided blocks. Consequently, women in these regions often rely on systemic opioids or even unmedicated deliveries.[11]

2. **Concerns About Safety and Expertise**
While ultrasound guidance has improved safety, regional blocks still require technical skill and a clear understanding of sonoanatomy. Limited expertise may lead to block failure or complications, discouraging their wider use.[12]

3. **Variability in Protocols**
There is still no universal consensus on standardized protocols for block selection, drug dosing, and multimodal integration, leading to practice heterogeneity and variable patient outcomes.

4. **Patient Awareness and Acceptance**
Many patients remain unaware of the available options for safe, effective analgesia. Cultural beliefs and fear of complications often deter women from opting for regional techniques.[13]

Emerging Regional Analgesic Techniques

Advancements in regional anesthesia have expanded the armamentarium of obstetric analgesia, offering safer and more targeted options. These newer techniques are increasingly being integrated into labor and cesarean analgesia protocols due to their ability to provide effective pain relief with fewer systemic side effects.

1. **Erector Spinae Plane (ESP) Block**
The ESP block has gained prominence for its simplicity and safety profile. Studies report reduction in postoperative opioid requirements and improved patient satisfaction following cesarean delivery. Its

superficial location also minimizes the risk of major complications, making it a preferred choice in high-risk patients.[10,14]

2. **Transversus Abdominis Plane (TAP) Block**
TAP blocks, when combined with multimodal analgesia, have been shown to reduce pain scores in the first 24 hours post-cesarean. Ultrasound guidance has further improved efficacy and safety, lowering the incidence of block failure.[15]

3. **Quadratus Lumborum (QL) Block**
The QL block provides more extensive and longer-lasting analgesia, often up to 36 hours postoperatively, compared to approximately 12–18 hours with TAP.[15]

Multimodal Pharmacological Strategies

The concept of multimodal analgesia—combining agents with different mechanisms of action—has revolutionized pain management in obstetrics. This approach not only enhances analgesic efficacy but also minimizes the need for systemic opioids, thereby reducing associated maternal and neonatal risks.

1. **Non-Opioid Analgesics**
- Acetaminophen (Paracetamol): Widely regarded as the cornerstone of multimodal analgesia, it is safe in both labor and postpartum periods. When administered intravenously, it has shown a 25–30% reduction in supplemental opioid requirements.[16]
- NSAIDs (e.g., Ibuprofen, Diclofenac, Ketorolac): These agents are effective for managing post-cesarean pain, with up to 40% improvement in pain scores when combined with paracetamol.[17]

2. **Opioid-Sparing Techniques**
Low-dose opioids, when used judiciously, enhance the analgesic effects of non-opioid agents. Techniques such as intrathecal morphine (100–150 mcg) during spinal anesthesia have shown prolonged analgesia for up to 18–24 hours postoperatively, with minimal neonatal exposure.[18]

3. **Adjunctive Agents**
- Gabapentinoids (Gabapentin, Pregabalin): Preoperative use of gabapentin (300–600 mg) can reduce opioid consumption by 20–30%, though caution is advised due to potential sedation.[19]
- Magnesium Sulfate: Acts as an NMDA receptor antagonist and has demonstrated a modest but significant reduction in postoperative pain scores.[20]
- Dexmedetomidine: Emerging evidence supports its role as an adjunct in regional blocks, enhancing the duration of analgesia by up to 50% without significant hemodynamic compromise.[21]

4. **Patient-Controlled Analgesia (PCA)**
PCA remains valuable in settings where regional blocks are contraindicated or declined by the patient. Combining low-dose opioids with non-opioid adjuncts provides effective, customizable pain relief while reducing the risk of over-sedation and nausea.[22]

Regional Anesthesia and Nerve Blocks

Regional anesthesia remains the gold standard for labor analgesia, offering effective pain relief while preserving maternal consciousness and participation in the birthing process. Advances in techniques, drug formulations, and delivery systems have significantly improved safety and efficacy.

1. **Epidural Analgesia**
- Low-Dose Epidural ("Walking Epidural"): Modern protocols use low concentrations of local anesthetics (e.g., bupivacaine 0.0625%–0.125%) combined with opioids to provide effective analgesia with minimal motor blockade, allowing ambulation.
- Programmed Intermittent Epidural Bolus (PIEB): Compared to continuous infusions, PIEB delivers better pain scores and reduced local anesthetic consumption while lowering the risk of motor weakness.
- Patient-Controlled Epidural Analgesia (PCEA): Empowers mothers to self-administer boluses, improving satisfaction and individualized pain control.[23]

2. **Combined Spinal-Epidural (CSE) Technique**
The CSE technique provides rapid onset analgesia from the spinal component with the option of continuous epidural maintenance. This approach is particularly beneficial during advanced labor or when rapid pain relief is desired.[24]

3. **Peripheral Nerve Blocks**
- Pudendal Nerve Block: Often used in the second stage of labor or for operative vaginal deliveries; it provides perineal anesthesia but does not relieve contraction pain.[25]
- Paracervical Block: Rarely used today due to risk of fetal bradycardia, but in select cases, it remains an option for early labor pain.[26]

4. **Innovations in Drug Delivery**
- Adjuvants like Clonidine and Dexmedetomidine: These agents enhance the quality and duration of analgesia with lower local anesthetic doses.[27]

5. Safety and Monitoring
Maternal hypotension, motor block, and urinary retention remain common concerns, but the overall complication rate has reduced to a large extent in centers with standardized protocols. Continuous fetal and maternal monitoring ensures early detection and intervention for adverse events.

Conclusion

Labour analgesia has evolved remarkably, shifting from basic pharmacological approaches to sophisticated, patient-centred techniques that prioritize both maternal comfort and safety. Neuraxial techniques continue to be the cornerstone, but multimodal strategies and non-pharmacological adjuncts are increasingly shaping modern practice. Despite advances, gaps remain in awareness, accessibility, and uniformity of care, especially in low-resource settings. Strengthening training programs, promoting evidence-based protocols, and encouraging collaborative research are essential to ensure that effective pain relief during labour becomes a universal standard rather than a privilege.

References

1. Zeleke, A. M., Ferede, Y. A., Tassew, W. C., & Gonete, Y. A. (2025). Practice of pharmacological labor pain management and associated factors among healthcare providers in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AJOG global reports*, *5*(2), 100456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xagr.2025.100456
2. Simanauskaite, A., Kavaliauskaite, G., Kacerauskiene, J., & Vilimiene, V. (2025). The Impact of Early Epidural Analgesia on the Course of Labor and Delivery. *Medicina (Kaunas, Lithuania)*, *61*(4), 750. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina61040750
3. Pahuja, H. (2025). Labour Analgesia – A Road Towards Standard Obstetric Care. CLINOVATE: Advances in Medicine and Healthcare, 1(1), 4–6. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15202705
4. Avila Hernandez AN, Hendrix JM. Epidural Anesthesia. [Updated 2025 Mar 27]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK542219/
5. Amaral, S., & Pawa, A. (2023). Ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia: present trends and future directions. *Brazilian journal of anesthesiology (Elsevier)*, *73*(6), 705–706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjane.2023.09.006
6. Wu, Z., & Wang, Y. (2021). Development of Guidance Techniques for Regional Anesthesia: Past, Present and Future. *Journal of pain research*, *14*, 1631–1641. https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S316743
7. Mavarez AC, Hendrix JM, Ahmed AA. Transabdominal Plane Block. [Updated 2023 Nov 13]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK560527/
8. Dhanjal ST, Tonder S. Quadratus Lumborum Block. [Updated 2023 Aug 14]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK537212/
9. Slinchenkova, K., Lee, K., Choudhury, S., Sundarapandiyan, D., & Gritsenko, K. (2023). A Review of the Paravertebral Block: Benefits and Complications. *Current pain and headache reports*, *27*(8), 203–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11916-023-01118-1

10. Siddiqui N, Krishnan S, Dua A, et al. Erector Spinae Plane Block. [Updated 2025 May 3]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK545305/
11. Dohlman, L. E., Kwikiriza, A., & Ehie, O. (2020). Benefits and Barriers to Increasing Regional Anesthesia in Resource-Limited Settings. *Local and regional anesthesia*, *13*, 147–158. https://doi.org/10.2147/LRA.S236550
12. Wadhwa, A., Kandadai, S. K., Tongpresert, S., Obal, D., & Gebhard, R. E. (2011). Ultrasound guidance for deep peripheral nerve blocks: a brief review. *Anesthesiology research and practice*, *2011*, 262070. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/262070
13. Ali, M., Sultan, S. F., Kumar, A., & Ghouri, N. (2020). Knowledge, Attitude and Practices of Labor Analgesia amongst healthcare workers and patients: a single center cross sectional study. *Pakistan journal of medical sciences*, *36*(1), S4–S8. https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.ICON-Suppl.1715
14. Wilson, A. A., Schmid, A. M., Pestaña, P., Jr, & Tubog, T. D. (2024). Erector Spinae Plane Block on Postoperative Pain and Opioid Consumption After Lumbar Spine Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Journal of perianesthesia nursing : official journal of the American Society of PeriAnesthesia Nurses*, *39*(1), 122–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2023.06.003
15. Qin, Y., Yang, Y., Qin, S., & Xiong, Z. (2024). Regional nerve block in postoperative analgesia after cesarean section: A narrative review. *Medicine*, *103*(52), e41159. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000041159
16. Jebaraj, B., Maitra, S., Baidya, D. K., & Khanna, P. (2013). Intravenous paracetamol reduces postoperative opioid consumption after orthopedic surgery: a systematic review of clinical trials. *Pain research and treatment*, *2013*, 402510. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/402510
17. Carter, J. A., Black, L. K., Sharma, D., Bhagnani, T., & Jahr, J. S. (2020). Efficacy of non-opioid analgesics to control postoperative pain: a network meta-analysis. *BMC anesthesiology*, *20*(1), 272. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-020-01147-y
18. Mugabure Bujedo B. (2012). A clinical approach to neuraxial morphine for the treatment of postoperative pain. *Pain research and treatment*, *2012*, 612145. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/612145
19. Arumugam, S., Lau, C. S., & Chamberlain, R. S. (2016). Use of preoperative gabapentin significantly reduces postoperative opioid consumption: a meta-analysis. *Journal of pain research*, *9*, 631–640. https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S112626
20. Jin, Z., & Zhao, J. (2025). Effectiveness and impact of intravenous magnesium sulfate in spinal surgery systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in pharmacology*, *16*, 1624119. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2025.1624119
21. Chen, Z., Liu, Z., Feng, C., Jin, Y., & Zhao, X. (2023). Dexmedetomidine as an Adjuvant in Peripheral Nerve Block. *Drug design, development and therapy*, *17*, 1463–1484. https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S405294
22. Pastino A, Lakra A. Patient-Controlled Analgesia. [Updated 2023 Jan 29]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK551610/
23. Fidkowski, C. W., Shah, S., & Alsaden, M. R. (2019). Programmed intermittent epidural bolus as compared to continuous epidural infusion for the maintenance of labor analgesia: a prospective randomized single-blinded controlled trial. *Korean journal of anesthesiology*, *72*(5), 472–478. https://doi.org/10.4097/kja.19156
24. Simmons, S. W., Taghizadeh, N., Dennis, A. T., Hughes, D., & Cyna, A. M. (2012). Combined spinal-epidural versus epidural analgesia in labour. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, *10*(10), CD003401. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003401.pub3
25. Beke A. (2022). Effect of New Peripudendal Block (PPB) in the Second Stage of Labour on Perineal Relaxation and on the Reduction of Episiotomy Rate: A Randomized Control Trial. *Obstetrics and gynecology international*, *2022*, 9352540. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9352540
26. Rosen M. A. (2002). Paracervical block for labor analgesia: a brief historic review. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, *186*(5 Suppl Nature), S127–S130. https://doi.org/10.1067/mob.2002.121812
27. Bajpai, V., Patel, T. K., Dwivedi, P., Bajpai, A., Gupta, A., Gangwar, P., Singh, Y., Agarwal, R., & Kishore, S. (2023). Dexmedetomidine versus clonidine as an adjuvant to local anaesthetic in brachial plexus blocks: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Brazilian journal of anesthesiology (Elsevier)*, *73*(5), 665–675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjane.2022.07.005